

Effect Of Planting Dates On The Yield And Yield Components Of Cowpea In The Guinea Savannah Agroecology Of Nigeria

Teryima Iolamen^{*1}, Omoigui Lucky Osabuohien², Ekeruo Godspower Chibuike²

¹Department of Crop Production, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, P.M.B. 2373, Makurdi, 970101, Nigeria ²Department of Plant Breeding and Seed Science, College of Agronomy, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University Makurdi, 970101, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The study evaluated the effect of different planting dates on the yield and yield components of six improved cowpea varieties in three locations (Makurdi, Abuja, and Zaria) and three cropping seasons (2019-2021) within the Guinea Savanna Agro-ecological zone of Nigeria. A split-plot design was used for the experiment. Treatments consists of planting dates as the main plot, varieties as subplots with three replications. All data were analyzed using SAS (SAS Institute, 2003). Results showed significant location and variety effects on all the measured traits. The year effect was also significant for measured traits. Planting date significantly influenced on all measured traits except 100-grain weight. The interaction of these sources of variation (Year, Planting date Variety, and Location) had significant effects on yield components. The 3rd planting date (29th August) produced the highest seed yield for all the varieties except IT89KD-288, which recorded the highest seed yield at the 2rd planting date (22rd August). Contrarily, in Makurdi and Zaria, the first date of planting (15th August) produced the highest seed yield for all the varieties, except for IT99K-573-1-1 and IT89KD-288, which recorded the highest seed yield for all the varieties, respectively. It is therefore important that adequate predictions regarding accurate weather conditions are well disseminated to the farmers before onset of farming seasons to guide them appropriately on suitable planting time to obtain optimal yield in cowpea production to boost food security.

Keywords: Cowpea, Yield components, Planting date, Food security

INTRODUCTION

The effect of climate change on cropping season and overall plant productivity cannot be over-emphasized. In general, change in climatic conditions has led to significantly modification of cropping seasons in different regions and the effect of this change is variation in the performance of crop species grown in diverse agro-ecologies [1]. The shift in rainfall pattern due to climate change affects the intensity and frequency of rainfall and this has a direct effect on the productivity of the crops [2]. The alteration in planting dates also implies that certain crop varieties adapted to farmers growing areas may no longer be suitable to the new season durations [3]. This therefore necessitates the need for diversification of the crop base to be used by subsistence farmers in an effort to increase on-farm agro-biodiversity and resilience. To achieve this, comprehensive strategies should consider the challenges of food and nutritional insecurity that is prevalent in rural households [3].

The time of planting have been shown to impact significantly on developmental growth and optimum yields of crops [3].

Citation: Teryima Iolamen, Omoigui Lucky Osabuohien, Ekeruo Godspower Chibuike (2025). Effect Of Planting Dates On The Yield And Yield Components Of Cowpea In The Guinea Savannah Agroecology Of Nigeria. *Agriculture Archives: an International Journal.*

Agriculture Archives: an International Journal. DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/AGRI.2025.4.1.53

Received on: January 17, 2025 Revised on: February 20, 2025 Accepted on: March 26, 2025

Corresponding author: **Teryima Iolamen** E-mail: **teryimaiorlamen@yahoo.com**

Copyright: © 2025 Published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en) license.

This is particularly important during the critical development phases where plants require adequate moisture and ideal temperatures at sowing, seedling establishment, flowering, and fruit formation. Inappropriate time of planting will result in reduced yield especially where crops are subjected to water and heat stress during dry spells at critical growth stages. Hence the need to determine appropriate planting dates for better crop performance and ultimately minimize farming risk among subsistence farmers [3].

In Nigeria, the major cowpea producing areas is the savannah belt. Its yield in the Guinea Savannah is influenced by some environmental factors including rainfall, hence it is seasonal. Therefore identifying the appropriate time for planting a crop in a particular location is thus an essential agronomic requirement needed for high and sustained productivity [4]. Many researchers have reported alteration of planting dates to be an effective strategy for reducing pest damage [5,6]. The effects of year, locations, planting dates, and climatic factors on crop production, particularly legume crops has been reported [7]. This has prompted the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) to engage in the development of varieties possessing diverse combinations of plant characteristics, diverse crop maturity, and broad spectrum resistance to diseases, insect pests, and parasitic weeds in addition to possessing desirable end-user agronomic traits [8]. Subjecting these newly developed improved cowpea varieties to multienvironmental trials will help to identify superior and stable cowpea varieties as well as understand the effect of variety and environment on cowpea performance. It is against this background that the current study was initiated to evaluate six varieties of cowpea in the Guinea Savannah agro-ecological environment, with a view to selecting the varieties that adapt to the conditions of this agricultural zone using Makurdi, Abuja, and Zaria environments as a case study. Variations in the performance of crop species grown in these environments at different planting dates will result in significant modifications of

cropping seasons, which according to [9] that will help farmers adapt their farming methods and mitigate the impact of climate change on cowpea production [4]. The objectives of this study therefore were to determine the effects of planting dates on the yield and yield components of cowpea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Sites

The study was conducted at three locations; Makurdi, Abuja, and Zaria in the 2019, 2020, and 2021 cropping seasons. The three locations are within the Guinea Savanna agroecological zone of Nigeria, a region not considered for cowpea production. At Makurdi, the experimental field was located at the Teaching and Research Farm of the College of Agronomy (7.41°N, 8.37°E, 97m a.s.l.), IITA/IAR Teaching and Research Farm, Samaru, Zaria (11.086° N, 7.719° E, 675 m a.s.l) and IITA Teaching and Research Farm, Kubwa, Abuja (9.076° N, 7.399° E, 476m a.s.l). All three locations in the Guinea Savanna ecological zone are characterized by an annual rainfall of 1000 to 1500 mm. The soil type in the locations is Alfisols on Argillaceous sediments [10]. Meteorological data was collected from the three locations for the three years of study. Field trials were conducted during the growing seasons of 2019, 2020, and 2021 at Abuja, Makurdi, and Zaria, under the rainfed condition.

Experimental Design and Planting

The experimental fields at each location were plowed, and harrowed with a tractor twice to a fine tilth, and thereafter, ridges were also made with a tractor. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with planting dates as the main plot and varieties as subplots, with three replications per treatment. The plot size for each treatment comprised four rows of 5m length, spaced 0.75m row apart to give a gross plot size of 15m² Each year, six improved cowpea varieties (IT99K-573-1-1, IT99K-573-2-1, IT89KD-288, UAM09-1055-6, UAM09-1046-6-1, and UAM09- 1051-1.) were planted for four planting dates (15th August, 22nd August, 29th August, and 5th September) across the three locations. Three seeds were planted per hill at 25 cm spacing between plants and thinned to two, 2 weeks after seedling emergence, providing a uniform plant population of about 106,667 plants ha-1. A recommended fertilizer rate of 30 kg/ha P_2O_5 in the form of a single super phosphate was applied at planting.

Post-planting activities included fertilizer application, weeding, and application of plant protectants (Cypermethrin + Dimethoate-BestAction).

Data Collection

Data were collected from the net plot (two central rows leaving the two outer rows and first plants at the beginning and the last plant at the end of each row to serve as borders. Field observations were made on the following: number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod. The 100 seed weight (g) and grain yield per net plot were measured using top loading MP10001 electronic balance (Shanghai Scientific Instrument Co. Ltd) while grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) was obtained using the formula [11] given as follow:

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) = $\frac{Grain yield per netplot}{Netplot area(m2)} x10,000m^2$

Data Analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS procedure, and Standard Error was used to separate the treatment means. Pearson's correlation coefficient between grain yield and the other traits was also computed using PROC CORR of SAS [12].

RESULTS

Effects of Sources of Variation on Yield Components

There was a significant location effect on all the measured traits (table 1). The year effect was also significant for measured traits. Planting date significantly influenced all measured traits except for 100-grain weight. The varietal effect was significant for all measured traits of cowpeas. Year x Planting date x Variety had a significant effect only on grain yield (kg/ha), while Location x Planting date x Variety had a significant effect on grain number per m², grain number per pod and grain yield (kg/ha). Planting date x Variety effect was significant for measured traits. Location x Year x Variety had significant effect on measured traits except for 100 grain weight. Year x Variety had significant effect on measured traits except for 100 grain weight and grain number per pod. Location x Variety effect was significant for measured traits. Location x Year x Planting date had significant effect on grain number per m^2 and grain yield (kg/ha). Year x Planting date effect was significant for grain number per m² and grain yield kg/ha). Location x Planting date had significant effect on grain number per m², grain number per pod and grain yield (kg/ha). Location x Year effect was significant for measured traits.

Source	100-grain weight (g)	grain number/m-2	Pod number/m-2	Grains pod ⁻¹	Grain Yield (kg ha-1)
Location (L)	<.0001	<.0001	0.0119	<.0001	0.0004
Year (Y)	0.0016	<.0001	0.0005	<.0001	0.0084
L x Y	<.0001	<.0001	0.0076	<.0001	0.0006
Planting date (PD)	0.8431	<.0001	0.0029	0.0002	<.0001
L x PD	0.2486	<.0001	0.0973	0.0004	<.0001
Y x PD	0.5066	0.0012	0.1054	0.5265	0.0369
L x Y x PD	0.7664	<.0001	0.4108	0.4064	<.0001
Variety (V)	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
L x V	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.0004	<.0001
Y x V	0.7610	<.0001	<.0001	0.4125	<.0001
L x Y x V	0.0950	<.0001	0.0264	0.0050	<.0001
PD x V	0.0057	<.0001	0.0009	0.0007	<.0001
L x PD x V	0.4483	0.0002	0.1198	<.0001	<.0001
Y x PD x V	0.3437	0.3242	0.7786	0.9453	0.0056
L x Y x PD x V	0.7068	0.0513	0.5575	0.9024	0.1349

Effects of Planting Dates on Number of Pods and Seeds

In the Abuja location, the highest number of pods was recorded at PD3 (third planting date) in 2019 (124 pods); and PD2 in 2020 and 2021 (123.6 pods). Pod production was affected by a variety of influences and UAM15-1046-6-1 produced the highest number of pods (119.3 – 136.4 pods) in the three seasons (table 2). Planting dates and varieties also affected seed production. The number of seeds was highest at PD1 in 2019 (691.seeds) as well as PD3 in 2020 (1013.2 seeds) and 2021 (858.8 seeds). Top seed-producing varieties were: UAM15-1055-6 which produced 803 seeds in 2019; IT99K-573-1-1 (952 seeds in 2020) and IT99K-573-2-1 (945 seeds in 2021).

In the Makurdi location, the highest number of pods was recorded at PD1 (first planting date) in 2019 (114 pods); PD2 in 2020 (114 pods), and 2021 (138 pods). Pod production was affected by variety influences in which IT99K-573-1-1 produced the highest number of pods (116-124 pods) in the first and second seasons while IT99K-573-2-1 was the highest in 2021 with 123 pods (table 3). Planting dates and varieties also affected seed production. Number of seeds was highest at PD1 in the three seasons (743 – 781.seeds). Seed production was influenced by variety and season. Top seed-producing varieties were season-dependent: UAM09-1051-1 (820 seeds in 2019), UAM09-1055-6 (837 seeds in 2020), and IT99K-573-1-1 (820 seeds in 2021)

In the Zaria location, the highest number of pods was recorded at PD1 (first planting date) in the three seasons (104-128 pods). Pod production was affected by a variety of influences in which IT99K-573-2-1 produced the highest number of pods (97-98 pods) in the first two seasons while IT89KD-288 was the highest in 2018 with 128 pods (table 4). Planting dates and varieties also affected seed production. Number of seeds was highest at PD1 in the first two seasons (834 – 837 seeds) and PD3 in the 2021 season (136 seeds). Seed production reduced drastically in the 2021 season. Top seed-producing varieties were: IT99K-573-2-1 (733 seeds in 2019), IT99K-573-2-1 (672 seeds in 2020), and IT89KD-288 (128 seeds in 2021).

Effects of Planting Dates on 100 Seed Weight

In the Abuja location, varieties planted at PD4 (fourth planting date) had the highest 100-grain weight in 2019 (18.2g) and 2020 (17g) whereas all planting dates recorded insignificant weight grain differences. IT99K-573-1-1 and IT99K-573-2-1 varieties had the highest grain weight in the three seasons (table 5). In the Makurdi location, varieties planted at PD4 (fourth planting date) had the highest 100-grain weight in the three planting seasons. IT99K-573-1-1 variety had the highest grain weight in the three seasons while UAM09-1055-6 had the lowest (table 6). In the Zaria location, varieties planted at PD4 (fourth planting date) had the highest 100-grain weight in 2019 (21.5g) as well as PD1 in 2020 (20.2g) and 2021 (20.9g). The highest grain weight was recorded in UAM09-1055-6 in 2019 (24.3g) while all varieties except IT89KD-288 produced heavy grains in the subsequent seasons (table 7).

Effects of Planting Dates on Grain Yield

In the Abuja location, crops at PD2 (second planting date) produced the highest grain yield (1473kg/ha) in the 2019 season. In the subsequent seasons, crops at PD3 produced the highest grain yield in 2020 (1663kg/ha) and 2021 (1609 kg/ha). The yield also had a variety effect in which IT99K-573-1-1 was the topmost yielding variety in two consecutive seasons producing 1529 kg/ha in 2019 and 15521kg/ha in 2020 while UAM09-1051-1 had the highest grain yield in the 2021 season with 1489 kg/ha followed by IT99K-573-1-1 that yielded 1481 kg/ha grain (table 8). In the Makurdi location, crops in PD1 (first planting date) produced the highest grain yield (1302 kg/ha) in the 2019 season. In the subsequent seasons, crops at PD3 produced the highest grain yield in 2020 (1449kg/ha) and 2021 (1603 kg/ha). Yield also had a variety of effects. The first ranked varieties in grain yield were IT99K-573-1-1 in 2019 (1578 kg/ka); IT99K-573-1-1 and UAM09-1051-1 in 2020 (1427 kg/ha) as well as UAM09-1051-1 (1469 kg/ha) and IT99K-573-2-1 (1468 kg/ha) in 2021 (table 9). In the Zaria location, crops planted in PD1 (first planting date) produced the highest grain yield in the three seasons (1451-1526 kg/ha). Yield also had a variety of effects.

IT99K-573-1-1 produced the highest yield in 2019 (1277 kg/ha) whereas UAM09-1055-6 produced the highest yield in 2020 with 1272 kg/ha. In the 2021 season, the duo of IT99K-573-1-1 and IT99K-573-2-1 were the best in grain yield (1208 kg/ha) as given in Table 10.

		Mean		96.3	117.2	113.2	119.3	105.7	111.1			913.4	944.9	262.7	823.3	871.3	669.3	
		PD4		80.9	125.1	85.1	130.2	115.3	117.6	109.0		638.0	722.0	18.9	762.0	642.7	364.0	524.6
2021		PD3		80.0	124.4	83.1	129.1	114.9	114.2	107.6		1157.1	1170.9	74.4	952.9	1079.3	778.0	868.8
		PD2		122.7	123.1	174.9	118.9	118.4	83.6	123.6		995.8	929.3	559.8	836.0	1002.0	858.2	863.5
		PD1		101.6	96.0	109.8	99.1	74.0	129.1	101.6		862.9	957.3	397.6	742.2	761.3	677.1	733.1
		Mean		95.8	117.2	113.2	119.3	105.5	111.1			952.3	833.8	568.2	723.3	899.1	618.8	
	(O	PD4		80.9	125.1	85.1	130.2	115.3	117.6	109.0		526.9	566.4	174.4	628.7	664.9	386.2	491.3
2020	anting Date (P)	PD3	m-2	80.0	124.4	83.1	126.9	114.9	114.2	107.3	'm ⁻²	1290.4	1104.2	585.6	975.1	1146.0	978.0	1013.2
	Pl	PD2	aber of pods/	120.4	123.1	174.9	121.1	118.4	83.6	123.6	her of seeds/	995.8	707.1	1048.7	547.1	1024.2	433.8	792.8
		PD1	Nun	102.0	96.0	109.8	98.9	73.3	129.1	101.5	Nun	996.2	957.3	464.2	742.2	761.3	677.1	766.4
		Mean		117.3	109.6	102.4	136.4	123.4	85.3			664.4	579.1	698.2	658.6	541.7	802.5	
6		PD4		79.1	57.1	94.9	130.4	106.0	73.6	90.2		582.9	388.2	763.3	620.7	455.1	744.4	592.4
201		PD3		130.9	149.3	103.8	142.9	124.4	94.0	124.2		585.1	711.8	561.6	621.3	471.1	988.4	656.6
		PD2		149.3	130.9	105.1	131.1	123.6	86.0	121.0		834.9	426.7	578.9	759.1	656.0	879.6	689.2
		PD1		110.0	101.1	105.8	141.1	139.8	87.8	114.3		654.9	789.6	889.1	633.1	584.4	597.6	691.4
		Variety (V)		IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM15-1046-6-1	UAM15-1051-1	UAM15-1055-6	Mean		IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K 573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM15-1046-6-1	UAM15-1051-1	UAM15-1055-6	Mean

		Mean		114.2	122.7	110.2	120.4	107.2	111.7			830.1	210.6 210.6	665.7	802.6	513.1					Mean		126.7	122.3	128.2	107.1	11071	/1011		126.7	122.3	128.2	107.1	120.1	118.7					Mean		16.6	16.8	15.5	15.9	15.4	14.0
		PD4	_	80.9	125.1	89.6	130.2	119.8	117.6	110.5		460.2	C./CC	685.1	612.2	399.3	488.8				PD4		99.6	100.7	146.4	97.3	10/.8	1234	1.041	9.66	100.7	146.4	97.3	167.8	128.4	123.4				PD4		17.1	16.5	14.9	16.1	14.9	13.7 15.5
	2021	PD3	_	86.7	126.7	94.2	131.3	119.3	116.4	112.4		1./2/	7.0/C	6196	790.4	453.1	555.4		2021		PD3		188.9	142.4	127.8	127.6	108.4	1364	1.001	188.9	142.4	127.8	127.6	108.4	123.1	136.4		2021		PD3		17.0	16.3	16.6	16.2	15.2	14.2 15.9
		PD2		187.1	143.1	174.9	121.1	116.2	83.6	137.7		1018.0	7479	613.8	1046.4	522.7	691.8				PD2		83.8	109.8	105.3	88.0	88.9	94.3	C: 1 /	83.8	109.8	105.3	88.0	88.9	90.0	94.3				PD2		15.9	16.3	15.7	15.4	16.0	13.7 15.5
-		PD1		102.0	96.0	82.0	98.9	73.3	129.1	96.9		1.6801	C./C7 8.027	747.7	761.3	677.1	743.8				PD1		134.7	136.4	133.3	115.3	1.611	1.001	0.041	134.7	136.4	133.3	115.3	115.1	133.1	128.0				PD1		16.6	17.9	14.8	16.0	15.6	14.6 15.9
-		Mean		116.4	96.8	94.0	117.7	113.8	87.0			/40.1	0.260	697.2	633.2	837.2					fean		31.7	97.8	59.6	7.9	50.8	C'.C		42.1	71.6	35.1	86.4	11.1	87.1					ean		8.1	7.6	6.8	6.3	6.3	3.4
		PD4		81.3	58.0	99.3	102.4	101.6	75.8	86.4		627.3	2.000	2009	522.0	744.4	611.0				D4 N		2.7 8	2.2	8.2	0.7	1.1	0.4 5.6	0.0	46.0 5	85.1 6	62.7 5	48.9 5	38.4 6	33.1 5	69.0				04 M		.3	1	.8	.6 1	.0	1.0
	2020	PD3		131.6	112.7	97.1	122.9	106.9	96.2	111.2		740.7	711.0	0.10C	560.0	981.8	703.6		020	ate (PD)	D3 F		1.8 6	3.8	7.3 4	2.2	C 1.1	4 T./.	1	20.0 3/	93.8 48	49.8 3(57.8 34	15.6 4:	95.6 23	07.1 30		020	Date (PD)	D3 PI	eight (g)	7.8 19	7.4 18	5.4 16	7.7 15	<u>5.6 17</u>	3.0 14 5.5 17
	DIC	PD2	ods/m ⁻²	140.4	117.6	98.4	126.7	112.4	86.0	113.6	eds/m ⁻²	812.7	0.720 6413	858.3	777.5	855.1	745.8		2	Planting D	D2 F	ods/m ⁻²	5.3 7	5.1 8	5.1 6	1.6		7.7 1.7	eds/m ⁻²	2.0 4:	8.0 4	80.7 5 [,]	96.9 4	2.2 5	35.8	5.9 51		2	Planting	J2 PI	l00-grain w	3.2 1.	7.6 1.	7.0 1(5.3 1.	5.8 1(5.3 16 5.3 16
at Makurdi		PD1	umber of po	112.2	98.9	81.1	118.7	134.4	90.0	105.9	umber of se	1.911	773.6	644.2	673.3	767.3	756.4	at Zaria			D1 P	umber of po	6.9 8	0.0	7.6 7.	7.3 9	0.0 8	9.0 9 8 9	umber of se	0.4 61	9.6 72	7.3 53	2.2 69	8.0 62	3.8 68	3.6 64				D1 PI		7.3 18	5.8	7.2 15	5.7 16	5.9 15	5.0 10 5.0 16
Varieties		lean	z	24.1	14.2	12.9	12.9	09.3	52.8		Ń	02.3	03.0	34.4	19.7	52.1		Varieties			Id	N	10	14	8	10		10	Ň	62	67	69	83	86	83	83				Id		1	1(Ē	1:	÷	
ome Cowpea		PD4 N		60.0 1	73.1 1	4.0	93.6 1	61.6 1	45.6 (56.3		460.2 8	499.0 0 189 7	6787 6	702.9 8	319.6 4	438.3	ome Cowpea			Mean		84.3	96.9 	73.8	79.3	90.4 02.1	1'00		732.7	667.0	582.0	613.0	536.4	602.1		es at Abuja			Mean		19.3	18.1	17.8	18.4	17.6	16.3
f Seeds of So	2019	PD3		116.7	91.1	11.8	116.0	136.0	59.3	88.5		568.2 770.0	0.0/C	6196	790.4	378.0	533.3	f Seeds of Sc	6		PD4		80.2	81.4	53.9	56.7	04.5 5 4 4	54.4 65.7	4	377.6	440.5	626.1	373.2	438.6	263.1	419.9	/pea Varieti	61		PD4		19.4	18.9	18.0	18.2	17.3	18.0 18.3
d Number o		PD2		162.9	129.6	18.2	107.1	136.0	60.4	102.4		995.8	159.8	547.1	1024.2	433.8	644.6	d Number o	201		PD3		80.4	93.7	75.4	69.7	90.8 75 2	5.C /	0.00	482.8	513.8	635.9	461.7	425.8	568.5	514.8	of Some Cov	203		PD3		19.4	17.9	17.5	18.6	17.9	16.3 17.9
er of Pods an		PD1		156.7	163.1	41.6	135.1	103.8	85.8	114.3	-	1.6801	C./CC	742.2	761.3	677.1	781.2	er of Pods an			PD2		90.7	80.5	84.1	102.4	90.8 020	0.00 88.6	0.00	1070.2	694.9	550.0	770.8	508.3	709.7	717.3	ain Weight			PD2		18.9	18.0	17.9	18.5	17.6	15.8 17.8
es on Numbe																		es on Numbe			PD1		85.9	131.9	81.8	88.4	110.4	103.8	0.001	1000.0	1018.7	515.7	846.2	773.2	867.0	836.8	es on 100-Gi			PD1		19.4	17.6	17.8	18.3	17.4	15.1 17.6
Table 3: Effect of Planting Dat		Variety (V)		IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM09-1046-6-1	UAM09-1051-1	UAM09-1055-6	Mean		1-1-2-2-2-1-1 moon: 2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-	1-2-6/0-11798-11 17894-1288	114M09-1046-6-1	UAM09-1051-1	UAM09-1055-6	Mean	Table 4: Effect of Planting Dat			Variety (V)		IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM09-1046-6-1	UAMU9-1051-1	0-CC01-20MMO	11CMT	IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM09-1046-6-1	UAM09-1051-1	UAM09-1055-6	Mean	Table 5: Effect of Planting Dat			Variety (V)		IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM09-1046-6-1	UAM09-1051-1	UAM09-1055-6 Mean

56.

Table 6: Effect of Plantin	g Dates on 1	00-Grain We	ight of Some	:Cowpea Va	ırieties at Ma	kurdi									
				2019				202	0				2021		
Variety (V)	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean	DD	1 PD2	Planting Da	ate (PU) 3 PD4	Mean	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean
						-	10(0-grain wei	ght (g)						
IT99K-573-1-1	17.26	18.20	17.76	19.30	18.13	18.4	0 17.53	19.4	3 19.3	6 18.68	15.93	16.77	17.33	17.63	16.92
IT99K-573-2-1	16.80	17.56	17.43	18.63	17.61	17.6	0 18.03	17.4	0 18.9	3 17.99	15.27	15.30	16.77	17.00	16.09
IT89KD-288	17.20	17.03	16.40	16.76	16.85	17.8	0 17.93	18.1	3 18.3	0 18.04	16.67	14.86	15.47	16.67	15.92
UAM09-1046-6-1	15.73	16.33	17.66	15.60	16.33	17.9	18.20	18.6	0 17.8	6 18.15	16.43	15.87	16.33	16.20	16.21
UAM09-1051-1	15.86	15.76	16.63	17.00	16.31	17.4	0 17.63	17.9	3 17.2	6 17.56	15.00	16.03	15.57	15.97	15.64
UAM09-1055-6	13.26	12.80	12.96	14.73	13.44	15.0	15.83 7 17.52	16.2	6 18.0 6 18.0	0 16.29	13.73	16.23	15.13	15.63	15.18
MCAIL	70.01	07'01	/FIOT	00'/T		~/ T		C''T 1	7.01 0		TCCT	±0°CT	01.01	70.01	66.CT
Table 7: Effect of Plantin	ng Dates on 1	00-Grain We	ight of Some	: Cowpea Va	ırieties at Zaı	ia									
				2019				202	0				2021		
								Planting Da	ate (PD)						
Variety (V)	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean	PD	l PD2	PD3	3 PD4	Mean	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean
							10()-grain wei	ght (g)						
IT99K-573-1-1	22.20	19.83	19.26	19.43	20.18	21.3	7 19.83	19.2	7 19.3	3 19.95	22.06	20.53	19.96	19.80	20.59
IT99K-573-2-1	19.93	20.06	19.10	18.80	19.47	19.5	3 20.07	19.7	7 19.6	3 19.85	20.63	20.76	21.00	20.00	20.60
IT89KD-288	20.63	18.50	17.93	15.36	18.11	20.3	7 18.50	17.6	0 15.3	7 17.96	21.06	19.20	18.30	16.06	18.66
UAM09-1046-6-1	20.43	20.23	19.93	17.66	19.56	19.5	0 20.23	20.1	0 18.7	19.63	20.13	20.93	20.93	19.50	20.37
UAM09-1051-1	20.33	19.96	21.56	18.26	20.03	20.6	3 19.97	21.0	0 18.2	0 19.95	21.33	20.66	21.70	18.90	20.65
UAM09-1055-6	19.46	18.96	19.43	39.30	24.29	19.4	7 18.97	19.2	0 18.2	0 18.96	20.16	19.66	19.90	18.90	19.66
Mean	20.50	19.59	19.54	21.47		20.2	1 19.59	19.4	9 18.2	+	20.90	20.29	20.30	18.86	
Table 8: Effect of Plantin	g Dates on (rain Yield of	Some Cowpe	a Varieties	atAbuja										
			2019				20	120					2021		
							P	Planting Date	e (PD)						
Variety (V)	PD1	PD2 P	D3 PD	4 Mea	u u	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean
IT99K-573-1-1	1482.7	1547.0 21	04.1 979.	.1 1528	.2	1339.1	1732.6	2018.7	1114.8	1551.3	1139.9	1562.6	1905.5	1315.0	1480.7
IT99K-573-2-1	1192.7	1164.7 15	08.0 1012	8 1219	.6	934.7	1469.2	1643.2	1146.5	1298.4	993.8	1445.1	1885.2	1194.4	1379.6
IT89KD-288	867.4	1192.6 12	51.8 993.	.8 1076	4	672.9	1845.7	1203.5	781.0	1125.8	874.5	1651.8	1102.9	780.4	1102.4
UAM09-1046-6-1	1147.5	1400.8 13	78.4 1256	6.0 1295	7	1069.6	1348.5	1654.2	1159.3	1307.9	869.1	1332.7	1584.4	1170.7	1239.2
UAM09-1051-1	1352.3	1169.6 13	27.4 1217	.0 1266	.6	1215.3	1479.2	1845.0	1111.2	1412.7	1145.9	1595.1	1849.1	1366.5	1489.1
UAM09-1055-6	882.7	1089.9 12	69.3 996.	.3 1059	5	1099.1	1310.2	1617.2	1121.8	1287.1	1057.2	1256.6	1330.5	1080.2	1181.1
Mean	1154.2	1260.8 14	73.2 1075	6.8		1055.1	1530.9	1663.6	1072.4		1013.4	1474.0	1609.6	1151.2	
Table 9: Effect of Plantin	g Dates on G	rain Yield of	Some Cowpe	a Varieties	atMakurdi										
			201	61				2020					2021		
						_	I	Planting Dat	ie (PD)						
Variety (V)	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean	PD1	PD2	PD3	PD4	Mean
IT99K-573-1-1	2136.8	1914.2	1514.4	745.1	1577.6	1502.8	1368.3	1876.1	960.9	1427.0	1419.4	1815.3	1740.4	829.5	1451.2
IT99K-573-2-1	1818.3	1231.3	1408.8	799.3	1314.4	1274.4	1265.1	1425.6	1106.3	1267.9	1252.2	1544.2	1876.0	1199.2	1467.9
IT89KD-288	364.5	99.8	217.0	19.9	175.3	615.9	1012.9	1115.1	1247.1	997.8	750.6	1129.2	854.9	536.5	817.8
UAM09-1046-6-1	1374.2	1156.3	1592.7	740.3	1215.8	1080.4	1442.8	1114.5	1228.4	1216.5	1158.8	1399.0	1699.0	1140.3	1349.3
UAM09-1051-1	1214.8	1193.3	1614.5	685.6	1177.1	1175.2	1285.3	1961.8	1284.7	1426.7	1370.7	1839.4	1722.5	944.3	1469.2
UAM09-1055-6	900.6	657.8	657.8	422.2	659.6	870.5	1201.2	1201.7	1094.3	1091.9	1357.4	1489.5	1723.1	869.7	1359.9
Mean	1301.5	1042.1	1167.5	568.7		1086.5	1262.6	1449.1	1153.6		1218.2	1536.1	1602.6	919.9	

www.agriculture.researchfloor.org

<u> </u>
-
No.
1.1
2
10
65
.2
<u> </u>
-
9
0
0
_
5
~~
0
01
2
8
-
0
6
G.4
0
-
2
0
. <u> </u>
N
~
in'
rai
Grai
ı Grai
n Grai
on Grai
s on Grai
s on Grai
es on Grai
tes on Grai
ates on Grai
Dates on Grai
Dates on Grai
q Dates on Grai
ıg Dates on Grai
ng Dates on Grai
ing Dates on Grai
ting Dates on Grai
nting Dates on Grai
anting Dates on Grai
lanting Dates on Grai
olanting Dates on Grai
Planting Dates on Grai
f Planting Dates on Grai
of Planting Dates on Grai
t of Planting Dates on Grai
ct of Planting Dates on Grai
ect of Planting Dates on Grai
fect of Planting Dates on Grai
ffect of Planting Dates on Grai
Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
0: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
e 10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
le 10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
ble 10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai
able 10: Effect of Planting Dates on Grai

-									
		Mean	1208.0	1207.8	1141.4	1174.3	1152.9	1097.5	
		PD4	1072.3	1051.9	1125.6	1030.7	1005.1	952.6	1039.7
2021		PD3	1382.0	1452.1	1223.8	1293.4	1123.4	1281.9	1292.8
		PD2	778.0	824.6	831.0	871.1	919.3	963.1	864.5
		PD1	1599.6	1502.5	1385.2	1502.0	1563.8	1192.3	1457.6
		Mean	1010.5	1182.7	883.5	1153.8	1059.8	1272.3	
		PD4	607.1	888.0	585.6	686.0	800.2	763.1	721.7
2020	ing Date (PD)	PD3	816.9	1190.4	827.8	958.3	857.1	1085.7	956.0
	Plant	PD2	1105.4	1150.4	1057.9	1332.5	1022.0	1359.0	1171.2
		PD1	1512.8	1502.1	1062.7	1638.4	1559.8	1881.4	1526.2
		Mean	1276.5	1050.6	1212.6	1237.9	1228.3	1219.9	
6		PD4	901.8	748.5	1170.6	944.9	748.3	713.1	871.2
201		PD3	1146.6	987.1	1487.2	1188.7	1144.9	1148.3	1183.8
		PD2	1662.6	1006.0	1072.0	1340.9	1359.7	1424.3	1310.9
		PD1	1394.8	1460.9	1120.4	1477.0	1660.4	1593.7	1451.2
		Variety (V)	IT99K-573-1-1	IT99K-573-2-1	IT89KD-288	UAM09-1046-6-1	UAM09-1051-1	UAM09-1055-6	Mean

DISCUSSION

Presently in this study, cowpea varieties revealed variations in yield and yield components as affected by planting dates. This is therefore an indication that the various cowpea varieties studied were sensitive to the environment. Suggestive of the fact that most varieties had the capacity to yield well depending on the planting date. The differences observed in yield patterns among varieties across experimental locations as observed in this study are as expected, and validates the need to evaluate crop species with distinct biotic and abiotic potentials in different environments [13]. Variation in grain yield of cowpea varieties indicates that planting date, variety, and the various interactions had a significant influence on seed yield. Cowpea seeds planted on 29th August (PD3) recorded the highest average seed yield in all the study years in Abuja (See Table 8). The same trend was observed in Makurdi, in 2020 and 2021 while in the Zaria location; planting date 1 (August 15th) gave the highest grain yield across the three years of the study. It therefore means the 29th August planting favors the cowpea production in both Abuja and Makurdi which are in the Southern part of the Guinea Savanna while the northern part (Zaria) was favored by the 15th August. Observable significant difference exist in the average seed yield that were produced among the 4 levels of plantings across years. Significant differences in seed yield among varieties were also observed. For example, in 2019, IT99K-573-1-1 gave the highest seed yield when planted on 15th August while UAM09-1055-6 had the lowest (1059.5 kg/ha ha-1) at the same time. In 2020, IT99K-573-1-1 had the highest seed yield (1551.3 kg/ha ha-1) on 29th August while IT89KD-288 had the lowest (1125.8 kg/ha ha-1) on 22nd August whereas in 2021 IT99K-573-1-2 had the highest seed yield of 1489.1 kg/ha ha-1 at 29th August while IT89KD-288 had the lowest at 5th September. The observation above therefore appears that the cowpea varieties planted between 15th and 29th August at all locations received sufficient but not excessive precipitation for growth allowing for adequate maturation and uniform drying of pods and seeds into the beginning of the dry season. This provides an opportunity for thorough drying and eventual reduction in pest and pathogenic incidence. A similar study carried out in Kano, Nigeria, reported that planting cowpeas in the last week of August appeared to give the best results [14]. Another author [15] also reported low yields of cowpeas planted early in the season in Uganda, with heavy rains favoring excessive vegetative growth of crop, few pods, and reduced grain yields). When planting is done too early and the soil temperature is cooler than 19°C, damage caused by excessive cold can result in incomplete and slow emergence [16].

However, in a planting date study in okra yield of okra was significantly influenced by dates of planting, with the first sowing date producing the tallest plant when three planting dates were considered [17]. The variety, IT89KD-288 significantly produced higher grain and fodder yield during the early season plantings (15th and 22nd August), particularly in the Abuja location while considerable reduction were observed for yield and yield components traits in the late planting seasons (29th August and 5th September) making it a variety that adapts narrow to early season planting. This agrees with another finding [18] who reported that late planting of Congo jute contributed in the production of shorter plants and lower yields of dry fiber.

The differential responses of cowpea with respect to number of seeds per pod is in agreement with [19], who reported that there is often a significant variation in the number of seeds per pod following the inability of some cowpea plants to develop and produce mature pods. He further showed that the response of the number of seeds per pod to effect of sowing date was not consistent with yield. The results differ from those of [20] where seed number as an important yield component is greatly associated with yield. In the same vein [15], higher grain yield was observed for the best performing variety during the late planting season in Uganda as compared to early planting season, and this was attributed to the different prevailing weather conditions in the two seasons. The first season was characterized with heavy rains which supported too much vegetative growth, fewer pods, and thus lower grain yields.

Results from the current study indicated that yield and yield components of cowpeas were best obtained in early planting dates (15th, 22^{nd,} and 29th August) but decreased in late planting dates (5th September), especially in Makurdi and Zaria locations.

Yield and yield components traits recorded significant reductions especially when variety IT89KD-288 which is a late maturing variety was planted on 5th September in Abuja and Zaria locations across the study years. This is basically attributed to moisture deficiency prevalent during the crop reproductive stage and podfilling period of the crops. This observation is in agreement with that of [21] who reported that decreasing moisture availability during seed development stages of plant reduces dry matter accumulation. This observation also agrees with the findings of [22] who reported that, planting soybean at the early season produced higher seed yield significant better than late planting. Similar results [23,24] were obtained on cowpeas, with yield differences attributed to high amount of solar radiation, increased leaf area index and appreciably lower insect pest population in the early planting season.

This result corroborates the above findings except for yield differences between the three seasons that could also be attributed to rainfall variations since the crop reproductive phase was longer in the early planting dates than at the late planting due to inadequate water. This opinion was supported [25] noting that in early sowing, cowpeas were enabled to escape unfavorably hot temperatures prevalent during the flowering stages when the crop was sensitive to heat and the crop would attain maturity before the cessation of rains.

The extended duration of pod filling observed in the early season could be responsible for the significant higher grain yield of planting date 1 (15th August) observed in Makurdi in 2019 and Zaria across years of study. It also implies that early flowering of cowpea varieties can play significant role to help plants escape drought in some locations and years, ultimately resulting in high yields. Similar finding [26] reported that extended duration of growth periods leads to higher photosynthetic activities and better crop performance. Another study [27], reported that yield advantage of early over late maturing varieties of soybean was not influenced by planting date. Nevertheless, delay in planting of early maturing varieties greatly delayed maturity, eliminating the potential benefit of early harvest of the early planted and early maturing varieties [28]. Early sowing can provide the advantage of increased grain yields of cowpea by enabling the crop to escape hot weather conditions which can hamper reproductive development [29]. Alternatively, planting too late could cause losses due to limited time for the pod formation. This could affect crude protein content, leading to a loss in quality and quantity of grain yield and ultimately loss of germplasm. Where the minimum temperature favors germination, cowpeas can be planted in the early season if the objective is for green pastures, green manure or silage [30].

CONCLUSION

Planting date and its interaction with many sources of variation (Year, Variety, Location) had a significant effect on all yield traits. The 3rd planting date (29th August) produced the highest seed yield for all the varieties except IT89KD-288, which recorded the highest seed yield at the 2nd planting date (22nd August). Contrarily, in Makurdi and Zaria, the first planting date (15th August) produced the highest seed yield for all the varieties, except for IT99K-573-1-1 and IT89KD-288, which recorded the highest seed yield at the 2nd and 3rd planting dates, respectively. It is therefore important that farmers are adequately informed about accurate weather predictions before the beginning of planting season to guide them appropriately on suitable time to plant and obtain optimal yield in cowpea production to boost food security.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lobell, D. B., Burke, M. B., Tebaldi, C., Manstrandrea, M. D., Fakon, W. P. and Naylor R. L. (2008) Prioritizing Climate change Adaptation needs for Food Security in 2030. *International Journal of Science*, 31 (9): 60-71.
- 2. Pisciotta, L. (2016). Crop Yield and Water Quality Benefits of On-Farm Water Capture and Use: A Two-Year Study in Eastern North Carolina. North Carolina State University.
- 3. Walter, T. (2014). Planting date effects on growth, development and yield of selected agronomic crops at Makhathini Irrigation Scheme. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. Thesis, p1-91

- 4. Ezeaku, I.E., Mbah, B.N. and Baiyeri, K.P. (2015). Planting Date and Cultivar Effects on Growth and Yield Performance of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *African Journal of Plant Science*, 9(11): 439-448.
- 5. Ekesi. S, Dike, M.S., Ogunlana, M. O. (1996). Relationship between planting dates and damage by the legume podborer, *Maruca testularis* (Geyes) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on cowpea, (*Vigna unguiculata*) L. (Walp.) in Nigeria. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 42:315-316.
- Karungi, J. Adipala, E. Ogenga-Latigo, M.W. Kyamanywa, S. Oyobo, N. (2000). Pest Management in Cowpea. Part 1. Influence of Planting Time and Plant Density on Cowpea Field Pests Infestation in Eastern Uganda. *Crop Protection*, 19(4): 231-236.
- Eseigbe, O.B., Kamara, A.Y., Miko, S., Omoigui, L.O., Solomon, R., Adeleke, M.A., Abdullahi, I.T. and. Bebeley, J.F. (2024). The Impact of Planting Dates on the Performance of Soybean Varieties [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] in the Nigerian Savannas. *Agronomy* 14(10):2198.
- 8. Abdullahi, I.T., Uche, F.C., Bashir, A.B., Kamara, A.Y., Adnan, A.A., Beah, A. and Adam, M.A. (2020). Modeling Planting-Date Effects on Intermediate Maturing Maize in Contrasting Environments in the Nigerian Savanna: An Application of DSSAT Model", *Agronomy*, 10(6):871.
- 9. Adetayo, A.O., Balogun, A.A. and Balogun, I.A. (2023). Understanding options for improving cowpea production under changing climatic and variability in rain-forest agroecology of Nigeria. *Discovery*, 59(333).
- 10. Kwari, J. D., Nwaka, G.I.C. and Mordi, R. I. (1999). Studies on selected Soil Fertility Parameters in Soil of North-eastern Nigeria. *Journal of Arid Agriculture* 9:61-70.
- 11. Obasi, M.O. (1989). Some studies on growth, development and yield of Kersting groundnut (*Kerstiniella geocarpa Harms*) in a derived Savanna environment of Southern Nigeria. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. 375pp.
- 12. SAS Institute. 2003. Th e SAS system for windows. v. 9.1. SAS Inst., Cary, NC. Turner, N.C., J.C.
- Popoola, B. O., Ongom, P. O., Mohammed, S. B., Togola, A., Ishaya, D. J., Bala, G., Fatokun, C., & Boukar, O. (2024). Assessing the Impact of Genotype-by-Environment Interactions on Agronomic Traits in Elite Cowpea Lines across Agro-Ecologies in Nigeria. Agronomy, 14(2), 263.
- 14. Asante, S.K., Tamo, M. and Jackail, L.E.N. (2001). Integrated Management of Cowpea Insect Pests using Elite Varieties, Date of Planting and Minimum Insecticide Application. *African Journal of Crop Science*, 9(4):655–665.
- 15. Asio, M.T., Osiro, D.S.O. and Adipala, E. (2005). Multilocation Evaluation of Selected Local and Improved Cowpea Lines in Uganda. *African Journal of Crop Science*, 13(4):23-24.

- 16. Ismail, A.M., Hall, A.E., Close, T.J. (1997). Chilling Tolerance during Emergence of Cowpea Associated with a Dehydrin and slow Electrolyte Leakage. *Crop Science*, 37:1270-1277.
- 17. Ijoyah M O, Atanu So and Ojo S. 2010. Productivity of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) at varying sowing dates in Makurdi, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Biosciences 32 (20): 15–19.
- Amankwatia, Y. O. (1979). Effects of different Dates of Planting on the Growth and Fibre Yield of Congo Jute (*Urena lobata*). *Ghana Journal of Agricultural Science*. 12: 19 -25.
- 19. Board, J. E., Kamal, M., and Harville, B. G. (1999). Temporal importance of growth stages to soybean yield. *Agronomy Journal*, 91(3):383-387.
- 20. Thompson, J. A., and Taylor, H. M. (1981). Soybeans. In K. J. Dormandy (Ed.). The soybean crop (pp. 1-32). Chapman and Hall, London.
- 21. Kamara, A. Y., Menkir, A., Badu-Apraku, B., and Ibikunle, O. (2003). Reproductive and stay-green traits in cowpea: Effects on grain yield. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 140(2):151-162.
- 22. Shegro, A., Atilaw, A., Pal, U.R. and Geleta, N. (2010). Influence of Varieties and Planting Dates on Growth and Development of Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr) in Metekel Zone, North Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Agronomy*, 9:146–156.
- 23. Javaid, I., Khalil, S. K., & Khan, M. A. (2005). Effect of planting dates on yield and yield components of soybean. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(3), 247-254.

- Akande, S.R., Olakojo, S.A., Ajayi, S.A., Owolade, O.F., Adetumbi, J.A., Adeniyan, O.N. and Ogunbodede, B.A. (2012). Planting Date Affects Cowpea Seed Yield and Quality at Southern Guinea Savanna, Nigeria. America -Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 34:79-88.
- 25. Ismail, A. M. and Hall, A.E (1998). Positive and Potential Effects of Heat-tolerance Genes in Cowpea. *Crop Science*, 38:381-390.
- 26. Evans, L. T. (1993). Crop Evolution, Adaptation and Yield. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 27. May, L., Rotar, P. P., & Evensen, C. I. (1989). Effects of Planting Dates on Soybean Yields in Hawaii. *Agronomy Journal*, 81(5):825-828.
- 28. Fatokun C.A., Tarawali S.A., Singh B.B., Kormawa P.M. and Tamò M. (2002). Challenges and opportunities for enhancing sustainable cowpea production. Proc. of the III World Cowpea Conf. held at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria, 4-8 Sep. 2000. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria
- 29. Hall, A. E. (1992). Breeding for heat tolerance. *Plant Breeding Reviews*, 10:129-168.
- 30. Republic of South Africa National Department of Agriculture. (1995). Soil Classification: A Taxonomic System for South Africa. Department of Agricultural Development, Pretoria.