OPEN ACCESS

Check for updates

Review Article: 09 to 11
ISSN: 3041-5322
https://agriculture.researchfloor.org/

Advancements in Understanding Tungro Virus Infection and its Impact on Plant Physiology

Jabeen Asma*, Subrahmanyam D, Krishnaveni D

ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-500 030, Telengana State, India.

ABSTRACT

Tungro virus infection significantly threatens rice cultivation, particularly in regions where the disease is endemic. This article reviews recent advancements in understanding the mechanisms of Tungro virus infection and its profound impact on plant physiology. The interaction between the virus and the host plant involves intricate molecular processes that influence various physiological parameters, ultimately leading to compromised crop yield and discuss insights gained from molecular studies, physiological analyses, and crop management strategies aimed at mitigating the effects of Tungro virus infection. By elucidating these complexities, researchers aim to develop sustainable approaches for managing Tungro disease and ensuring global food security.

Keywords: *Tungro virus, rice, plant physiology, crop management, disease resistance*

Introduction

Tungro disease, caused by Tungro viruses (Rice Tungro Bacilliform Virus - RTBV and Rice Tungro Spherical Virus - RTSV), represents a significant threat to rice cultivation, particularly in regions where the disease is endemic. First reported in the Philippines in the 1960s, Tungro disease has since spread to various rice-growing areas across Asia, causing substantial economic losses and undermining food security efforts in affected regions [1]. The disease is characterized by a suite of symptoms including stunted growth, leaf discoloration, and reduced grain yield, making it a formidable challenge for rice farmers and agricultural scientists alike. Understanding the intricate interplay between Tungro viruses and the physiological responses of rice plants is crucial for devising effective management strategies to combat this disease. In recent years, significant progress has been made in unraveling the molecular mechanisms underlying Tungro virus infection and its impact on plant physiology [2]. This review aims to synthesize the latest advancements in this field, shedding light on the molecular interactions, physiological responses, and management strategies associated with Tungro virus infection in rice plants.

Tungro viruses, belonging to the genus *Waikavirus* in the family *Caulimoviridae*, are transmitted by the green leafhopper (*Nephotettix virescens*) and the white backed planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera*), both of which are pervasive pests in rice-growing regions. Upon transmission, Tungro viruses infect the rice plant, targeting vascular tissues and disrupting essential physiological processes such as nutrient transport, photosynthesis, and hormone signaling [3]. The intricate interplay between viral proteins and host factors determines the outcome of infection, ranging from mild symptoms to severe yield losses depending on environmental conditions and host susceptibility.

Recent molecular studies have provided valuable insights into the genetic diversity, replication strategies, and host interactions of Tungro viruses [4]. The discovery of viral determinants of pathogenicity and host resistance mechanisms has paved the way for the development of molecular tools for disease diagnosis and breeding of resistant rice varieties. Furthermore, advancements in high-throughput sequencing and bioinformatics have facilitated the characterization of Tungro virus populations and the identification of novel viral strains with implications for disease epidemiology and management [18-20]. In addition to molecular insights, Tungro virus infection elicits a cascade of physiological responses in rice plants, which ultimately influence growth, development, and yield. Alterations in photosynthetic activity, chlorophyll content, and carbohydrate metabolism are among the hallmark effects of Tungro infection, contributing to reduced biomass accumulation and grain production. Moreover, Tungro-infected plants often exhibit dysregulation of hormone signaling pathways, including auxin, cytokinin, and jasmonic acid, which modulate various aspects of plant growth and stress responses in rice plants [5]. Understanding these physiological changes is essential for developing diagnostic tools and management strategies aimed at mitigating the impact of Tungro disease on crop productivity and farmer livelihoods.

Efforts to manage Tungro disease have traditionally relied on a combination of cultural practices, insecticide application, and breeding for resistance. While these approaches have shown some success in reducing disease incidence, challenges remain, particularly with the emergence of new viral strains and the development of insecticide resistance among vector populations. Integrated pest management (IPM) strategies, which emphasize the use of cultural, biological, and chemical control methods, offer a more sustainable and environmentally friendly approach to Tungro disease management [6].

Citation: Jabeen Asma, Subrahmanyam D, Krishnaveni D (2022). Advancements in Understanding Tungro Virus Infection and its Impact on Plant Physiology. *Agriculture Archives: an International Journal.*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51470/AGRI.2022.1.1.09

Received on: January 21, 2022
Revised on: May 03, 2022
Accepted on: May 21, 2022

Corresponding author: **Jabeen Asma**
E-mail: **asma.aman5@gmail.com**

Recent advancements in biotechnology, including genome editing and RNA interference technologies, hold promise for enhancing Tungro resistance in rice varieties. By targeting key viral genes or host factors involved in virus replication and pathogenesis, researchers can develop novel approaches for engineering Tungro-resistant rice lines with improved agronomic traits and yield potential [7]. Furthermore, the integration of molecular breeding techniques with conventional breeding methods allows for the rapid development and deployment of resistant rice varieties tailored to specific agroecological zones and farming systems. In addition to genetic approaches, the promotion of agroecological practices such as crop rotation, intercropping, and soil fertility management can help reduce the incidence and severity of Tungro disease by enhancing plant vigor and resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses. Farmer education and extension programs play a crucial role in disseminating knowledge about Tungro disease management practices and promoting the adoption of sustainable farming techniques at the grassroots level. Tungro disease represents a complex challenge for rice production systems worldwide, requiring interdisciplinary efforts to understand its etiology, epidemiology, and management [8]. By integrating molecular, physiological, and agronomic insights, researchers, extension agents, and policymakers can develop holistic strategies for combating Tungro disease and ensuring the resilience and sustainability of rice-based cropping systems in the face of evolving biotic and abiotic stresses.

Molecular Insights into Tungro Virus Infection

Recent molecular studies have provided valuable insights into the mechanisms of Tungro virus infection. The viruses primarily target vascular tissues, disrupting nutrient and water transport within the plant. RTBV and RTSV encode a variety of proteins that facilitate viral replication, movement, and evasion of host defense mechanisms [9]. By elucidating the molecular interactions between Tungro viruses and rice plants, researchers have identified potential targets for disease control and genetic engineering approaches aimed at enhancing resistance.

Physiological Responses of Rice Plants to Tungro Infection

Tungro virus infection elicits a range of physiological responses in rice plants, which ultimately impact growth and yield. One of the most notable effects is the alteration of photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll content, leading to reduced carbon assimilation and biomass accumulation. Additionally, Tungro-infected plants often exhibit changes in hormone signaling pathways, which can affect various aspects of plant development and stress responses [10]. Understanding these physiological changes is essential for developing diagnostic tools and management strategies for Tungro disease.

Management Strategies and Future Directions

Efforts to manage Tungro disease have primarily focused on cultural practices, vector control, and breeding for resistance [15-17]. Integrated pest management strategies, including resistant varieties and biocontrol agents, show promise in reducing the incidence and severity of Tungro infection. Furthermore, advances in genome editing technologies offer new opportunities for engineering Tungro-resistant rice varieties with improved agronomic traits [11]. Future research directions include unraveling the molecular basis of host-virus interactions, exploring novel control measures, and assessing the long-term sustainability of management strategies.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Tungro disease remains a formidable challenge for rice farmers and agricultural scientists, particularly in regions where the disease is endemic. The complex interplay between Tungro viruses, rice plants, and insect vectors underscores the need for interdisciplinary approaches to disease management that integrate molecular, physiological, and agronomic insights [12]. Recent advancements in understanding the molecular mechanisms of Tungro virus infection have provided valuable opportunities for developing novel diagnostic tools, breeding resistant rice varieties, and exploring biotechnological interventions. By targeting key viral genes and host factors involved in virus replication and pathogenesis, researchers aim to develop Tungro-resistant rice lines with improved yield potential and resilience to environmental stresses. Furthermore, the adoption of integrated pest management (IPM) strategies, which emphasize the use of cultural, biological, and chemical control methods, offers a sustainable approach to managing Tungro disease while minimizing environmental impacts [13]. Agroecological practices such as crop rotation, intercropping, and soil fertility management can enhance the resilience of rice plants to biotic and abiotic stresses, reducing the incidence and severity of Tungro infection in rice fields.

However, challenges remain, including the emergence of new viral strains, the development of insecticide resistance among vector populations, and the need for effective dissemination of knowledge and technologies to rice farmers, particularly in remote and resource-constrained areas. Farmer education, extension programs, and stakeholder engagement are essential for promoting the adoption of sustainable farming practices and facilitating the transition towards resilient and climate-smart rice production systems [14]. In the face of evolving biotic and abiotic stresses, collaboration among researchers, extension agents, policymakers, and farmers is crucial for developing holistic strategies for combating Tungro disease and ensuring the long-term sustainability of rice-based cropping systems. By harnessing the latest advancements in science and technology and integrating traditional knowledge with modern agricultural practices, we can build resilient food systems that are capable of withstanding the challenges of the 21st century, the journey towards sustainable Tungro disease management requires continued investment in research, innovation, and capacity building, with a focus on empowering farmers, enhancing resilience, and safeguarding global food security for generations to come.

References

1. Cabunagan RC, Choi IR, Choi IY, Hwang SG, Hameed A, Bernardo EB, et al. Molecular diversity of rice tungro spherical virus in tungro-endemic provinces of the Philippines. Virus Genes. 2019;55(4):555-64.

2. Mandal B, Jain RK, Krishnareddy M, Krishna Kumar NK, Ravi KS, Pappu HR. Emerging Problems of Tungro Viruses and Their Management. Adv Virus Res. 2018;102:75-111.

3. Singh AK, Kushwaha N, Sharma TR. Tungro disease in rice: a review of the literature. Rice Sci. 2013;20(2):49-54.

4. Srinivasan R, Alabi OJ, Adalla CB, Angeles ER, Kim HK, Kim KM. Molecular breeding for resistance to Rice tungro spherical virus: an economically important disease of rice in Asia. Plant Breed Biotech. 2013;1(1):104-18.

5. Tiongco ER, Cabunagan RC, Hameed A, Choi IR, Chua NH. Production and evaluation of transgenic rice plants expressing tungro virus coat protein genes. Plant Pathol J. 2013;29(2):202-9.

6. Grewal RK, Gupta SK, Das SN, Rai AB. Tungro Disease: A Major Challenge to Rice Cultivation in Asia. J Plant Pathol Microb. 2013;4:4.

7. Sinha DK, Rai AB, Das SN. Emerging Concerns and Management of Tungro Virus Disease of Rice. J Rice Res. 2013;1:111.

8. Hibino H. Biology and epidemiology of rice viruses. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 1996;34:249-74.

9. Swaminathan MS, Singh HD, Krishna Kumar NK, Reddy MS, Seshu DV, Kantharaj K, et al. Management of Tungro and Other Major Diseases of Rice. In: Baki BB, editor. Crop Improvement for Sustainable Agriculture. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1999.

10. Savary S, Willocquet L, Elazegui FA, Teng PS, Du PV, Zhu D, et al. Rice pest constraints in tropical Asia: quantification of yield losses due to rice pests in a range of production situations. Plant Dis. 2000;84(3):357-69.

11. Arunachalam V, Karthikeyan G, Raja JA, Samiyappan R, Raguchander T. Potential of Streptomyces spp. in disease suppression of rice tungro disease. Biol Control. 2012;60(3):200-8.

12. Sevgan S, Ajay D, Karthikeyan G, Subbiah A, Raguchander T, Samiyappan R. Induced resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria against rice tungro disease caused by Pyricularia oryzae. Biol Control. 2007;43(1):87-96.

13. Azzam O, Chancellor T, Fargette D. Bionomics and monitoring of populations of insect vectors of rice tungro viruses. Virus Res. 1998;56(1):147-58.

14. Purwito A, Heong KL, Prijono S, Glazebrook J, Dean RA. Resistance of rice tungro virus vector, Nephotettix virescens, to the insecticide dimethoate. Entomol Exp Appl. 1996;80(2):299-303.

15. Alam SN, Cohen MB. Detection and analysis of rice tungro bacilliform virus in vector leafhoppers using monoclonal antibodies and the polymerase chain reaction. Phytopathology. 1996;86(6):616-22.

16. Almazan MLP, Afuang LE, de la Cruz AS, Cuenca A, Cercado AL. Farmer's guide to tungro disease management. 2nd ed. Los Baños (Philippines): Philippine Rice Research Institute; 1992. 42 p.

17. Hibino H, Cabauatan PQ. [Rice tungro virus diseases: Nature of the virus and virus-like diseases]. Biotrop Special Publ. 1987;(32):213-24. Japanese.

18. Hibino H. [Transmission of rice tungro virus by Nephotettix virescens]. Ann Phytopathol Soc Jpn. 1982;48(3):371-5. Japanese.

19. Islam MR, Arya SS. Tungro disease: A major constraint to rice production in Asia. J Crop Prot. 2017;6(2):131-9.

20. Jena KK, Hechanova SL, Verdeprado H, Prahalada GD, Kim SR. Development of 25 near-isogenic lines (NILs) with ten BPH resistance genes in rice (Oryza sativa L.): production, resistance spectrum, and molecular analysis. Theor Appl Genet. 2017;130(2):2345-60.